

**COMPLETELY MONOTONE FUNCTIONS
IN THE STUDY OF A CLASS
OF FRACTIONAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS**

Emilia Bazhlekova

Joint Seminar of Analysis, Geometry and Topology Department
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Completely monotone functions (\mathcal{CMF})

Bulgarian contributions: N. Obreshkov, Y. Tagamlitski, Bl. Sendov, H. Sendov

A function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called completely monotone if it is of class C^∞ and

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(t) \geq 0, \text{ for all } t > 0, n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Elementary examples:

$$e^{-\lambda t}; \quad t^{-1}; \quad (\lambda + \mu t)^{-\nu}; \quad \ln(b + \mu t^{-1}); \quad e^{f(t)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{CMF};$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \nu > 0, b \geq 1$.

Bernstein's theorem: $f(t) \in \mathcal{CMF}$ iff

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} dg(x),$$

where $g(x)$ is nondecreasing and the integral converges for $0 < t < \infty$.

Bernstein functions (\mathcal{BF}) and some useful properties

A C^∞ function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Bernstein function if

$$f(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(t) \in \mathcal{CMF}.$$

Proposition:

(a) The class \mathcal{CMF} is closed under pointwise addition and multiplication; The class \mathcal{BF} is closed under pointwise addition, but, in general not under multiplication;

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{CMF}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{BF}$, then the composite function $f(\varphi) \in \mathcal{CMF}$;

(c) If $f \in \mathcal{BF}$, then $f(t)/t \in \mathcal{CMF}$;

(d) Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a nonnegative and nonincreasing function, such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = 0$. Then $\varphi(s) = s\hat{f}(s) \in \mathcal{BF}$;

(e) If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $f \in \mathcal{CMF}$, then $\hat{f}(s)$ admits analytic extension to the sector $|\arg s| < \pi$ and

$$|\arg \hat{f}(s)| \leq |\arg s|, \quad |\arg s| < \pi.$$

The operators of fractional integration and differentiation

J_t^α - the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$:

$$J_t^\alpha f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function.

D_t^α - the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative

${}^C D_t^\alpha$ - the Caputo fractional derivative

$$D_t^1 = {}^C D_t^1 = d/dt; \quad {}^C D_t^\alpha = J_t^{1-\alpha} D_t^1, \quad D_t^\alpha = D_t^1 J_t^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Mittag-Leffler function

Fractional relaxation equation ($\lambda > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_t^\alpha u(t) + \lambda u(t) &= f(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= c_0. \end{aligned}$$

The solution is given by:

$$u(t) = c_0 E_\alpha(-\lambda t^\alpha) + \int_0^t \tau^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda \tau^\alpha) f(t-\tau) d\tau.$$


Mittag-Leffler function ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$):

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(-t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad E_\alpha(-t) = E_{\alpha,1}(-t).$$


$$E_1(-t) = e^{-t} \in \mathcal{CMF}$$

$$E_\alpha(-t) \in \mathcal{CMF}, \text{ iff } 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ (Pollard, 1948)}$$

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(-t) \in \mathcal{CMF}, \text{ iff } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \alpha \leq \beta \text{ (Schneider, 1996; Miller, 1999)}$$



Plots of $E_{\alpha}(-t^{\alpha})$ for different values of α



Plots of $t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-t^\alpha)$ for different values of α

Fractional evolution equation of distributed order

Two alternative forms:

$$\int_0^1 \mu(\beta) {}^C D_t^\beta u(t) d\beta = Au(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

and

$$u'(t) = \int_0^1 \mu(\beta) D_t^\beta Au(t) d\beta, \quad t > 0, \quad (2)$$

A - closed linear unbounded operator densely defined in a Banach space X

Initial condition: $u(0) = a \in X$

Reference: E. Bazhlekova, Completely monotone functions and some classes of fractional evolution equations, preprint, 2015, arXiv:1502.04647

Two cases for the weight function μ :

- discrete distribution

$$\mu(\beta) = \delta(\beta - \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \delta(\beta - \alpha_j), \quad (3)$$

where $1 > \alpha > \alpha_1 \dots > \alpha_m > 0$, $b_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $m \geq 0$, and δ is the Dirac delta function;

- continuous distribution

$$\mu \in C[0, 1], \quad \mu(\beta) \geq 0, \quad \beta \in [0, 1], \quad (4)$$

and $\mu(\beta) \neq 0$ on a set of a positive measure.

Discrete distribution:

Multi-term time-fractional equations in the Caputo sense

$${}^C D_t^\alpha u(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j {}^C D_t^{\alpha_j} u(t) = Au(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (5)$$

and in the Riemann-Liouville sense


$$u'(t) = D_t^\alpha Au(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j D_t^{\alpha_j} Au(t), \quad t > 0 \quad (6)$$

If $m = 0$ (single-term equations):


problem (5) is equivalent to (6) with α replaced by $1 - \alpha$.

All problems are generalizations of the **classical abstract Cauchy problem**

$$u'(t) = Au(t), \quad t > 0; \quad u(0) = a \in X. \quad (7)$$



Solution $u(t)$ of (5) with $A = -1$ for:
 $m = 1, \alpha = 0.75, \alpha_1 = 0.25,$
 $m = 0, \alpha = 0.25$
 $m = 0, \alpha = 0.75.$



Solution $u(t)$ of (5) with $A = -1$ for:
 $m = 2, \alpha = 0.75, \alpha_1 = 0.5, \alpha_2 = 0.25$
 $m = 1, \alpha = 0.75, \alpha_1 = 0.25,$
 $m = 0, \alpha = 0.25$
 $m = 0, \alpha = 0.75.$

Unified approach to the four problems

Rewrite problems (1) and (2) as an abstract Volterra integral equation

$$u(t) = a + \int_0^t k(t - \tau) Au(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \geq 0; \quad a \in X,$$

where

$$\widehat{k}_1(s) = (h(s))^{-1}, \quad \widehat{k}_2(s) = h(s)/s,$$

In the continuous distribution case:

$$h(s) = \int_0^1 \mu(\beta) s^\beta d\beta.$$

In the discrete distribution case:

$$h(s) = s^\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j s^{\alpha_j}.$$

Define

$$g_i(s) = 1/\widehat{k}_i(s), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Particular cases

In the single-term case:

$$k_1(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad k_2(t) = \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad g_1(s) = s^\alpha, \quad g_2(s) = s^{1-\alpha},$$

In the double-term case:

$$k_1(t) = t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\alpha_1, \alpha}(-b_1 t^{\alpha-\alpha_1}), \quad k_2(t) = \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} + b_1 \frac{t^{-\alpha_1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_1)},$$
$$g_1(s) = s^\alpha + b_1 s^{\alpha_1}, \quad g_2(s) = \frac{s}{s^\alpha + b_1 s^{\alpha_1}} = s \widehat{k}_1(s)!!!$$

In the case of continuous distribution in its simplest form: $\mu(\beta) \equiv 1$.

$$g_1(s) = \frac{s-1}{\log s}, \quad g_2(s) = \frac{s \log s}{s-1}.$$

Properties of the kernels

Theorem. Let $\mu(\beta)$ be either of the form (3) or of the form (4) with the additional assumptions $\mu \in C^3[0, 1]$, $\mu(1) \neq 0$, and $\mu(0) \neq 0$ or $\mu(\beta) = a\beta^\nu$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, where $a, \nu > 0$. Then for $i = 1, 2$:

(a) $k_i \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} k_i(t) = 0$;

(b) $k_i(t) \in \mathcal{CMF}$ for $t > 0$;

(c) $k_1 * k_2 \equiv 1$;

(d) $g_i(s) \in \mathcal{BF}$ for $s > 0$;

(e) $g_i(s)/s \in \mathcal{CMF}$ for $s > 0$;

(f) $g_i(s)$ admits analytic extension to the sector $|\arg s| < \pi$ and

$$|\arg g_i(s)| \leq |\arg s|, \quad |\arg s| < \pi.$$

In the discrete distribution case a stronger inequality holds:

$$|\arg g_i(s)| \leq \alpha |\arg s|, \quad |\arg s| < \pi.$$

The classical abstract Cauchy problem:

$$u'(t) = Au(t), \quad t > 0; \quad u(0) = a \in X.$$

Main result:

Assume that the classical Cauchy problem is well-posed with solution $u(t)$ satisfying

$$\|u(t)\| \leq M\|a\|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then any of the problems

$$\int_0^1 \mu(\beta) {}^C D_t^\beta u(t) d\beta = Au(t), \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = a \in X,$$

$$u'(t) = \int_0^1 \mu(\beta) D_t^\beta Au(t) d\beta, \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = a \in X$$

is well-posed with solution satisfying the same estimate.

The classical abstract Cauchy problem:

$$u'(t) = Au(t), \quad t > 0; \quad u(0) = a \in X.$$

$T(t)$ - solution operator (defined by $T(t)a = u(t)$, $t \geq 0$);

$R(s, A)$ - resolvent operator of A :

$$R(s, A) = (s - A)^{-1} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} T(t) dt, \quad s > 0,$$

The Hille-Yosida theorem states that the classical Cauchy problem is well-posed with solution operator $T(t)$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq M$, $t \geq 0$ iff $R(s, A)$ is well defined for $s \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$\|R(s, A)^n\| \leq M/s^n, \quad s > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Abstract Volterra integral equation

$$u(t) = a + \int_0^t k(t - \tau) Au(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \geq 0; \quad a \in X,$$

The Laplace transform of the solution operator $S(t)$

$$H(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} S(t) dt, \quad s > 0$$

is given by

$$H(s) = \frac{g(s)}{s} R(g(s), A), \quad g(s) = 1/\hat{k}(s).$$

The Generation Theorem (Pruss, 1993) states that the integral equation is well-posed with solution operator $S(t)$ satisfying $\|S(t)\| \leq M$, $t \geq 0$, iff

$$\|H^{(n)}(s)\| \leq M \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}, \quad \text{for all } s > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Main result

Theorem.

Suppose that the classical Cauchy problem is well-posed with solution $u(t)$ satisfying

$$\|u(t)\| \leq M\|a\|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then problems (1) and (2) are well-posed and their solutions satisfy the same estimate.

Proof:

We know

$$\|R(s, A)^n\| \leq M/s^n, \quad s > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have to prove

$$\|H^{(n)}(s)\| \leq M \frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}, \quad \text{for all } s > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where

$$H(s) = \frac{g(s)}{s} R(g(s), A),$$

and $g(s) = 1/\widehat{k}(s)$, $R(s, A) = (s - A)^{-1}$.

By the Leibniz rule:

$$H^{(n)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{g(s)}{s}\right)^{(n-k)} w^{(k)}(s), \quad w(s) = R(g(s), A). \quad (8)$$

Formula for the k -th derivative of a composite function (P.Todorov, Pacific J. Math., 1981):

$$w^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{p=1}^k a_{k,p}(s) (-1)^p p! (R(g(s), A))^{p+1}, \quad (9)$$

where the functions $a_{k,p}(s)$ are defined by

$$a_{k+1,p}(s) = a_{k,p-1}(s)g'(s) + a'_{k,p}(s), \quad 1 \leq p \leq k+1, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (10)$$

$$a_{k,0} = a_{k,k+1} \equiv 0, \quad a_{1,1}(s) = g'(s).$$

$$g(s) \in \mathcal{BF} \Rightarrow (-1)^{k+p} a_{k,p}(s) \in \mathcal{CMF}. \quad (11)$$

Proof: by induction.

So far:

$$(-1)^n H^{(n)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{p=1}^k b_{n,k,p}(s) (R(g(s), A))^{p+1} \quad (12)$$

where

$$b_{n,k,p}(s) = (-1)^{n+p} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{g(s)}{s} \right)^{(n-k)} a_{k,p}(s) p!$$

Positivity?

$$(-1)^{k+p} a_{k,p}(s) \geq 0, \quad g(s) \in \mathcal{BF} \Rightarrow g(s)/s \in \mathcal{CMF}, \quad s > 0. \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow b_{n,k,p}(s) &= (-1)^{n+p} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{g(s)}{s} \right)^{(n-k)} a_{k,p}(s) p! \\ &= \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \left(\frac{g(s)}{s} \right)^{(n-k)} (-1)^{k+p} a_{k,p}(s) p! \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(-1)^n H^{(n)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{p=1}^k b_{n,k,p}(s) (R(g(s), A))^{p+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \|H^{(n)}(s)\| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{p=1}^k b_{n,k,p}(s) \|(R(g(s), A))^{p+1}\| \\
&\leq M \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{p=1}^k b_{n,k,p}(s) (g(s))^{-(p+1)} \\
&= M(-1)^n (s^{-1})^{(n)} = Mn!s^{-(n+1)}, \quad s > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that for $A \equiv 0$:

$$(-1)^n (s^{-1})^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{p=1}^k b_{n,k,p}(s) (g(s))^{-(p+1)}.$$

Therefore, the conditions of the Generation Theorem are satisfied and the problems are well-posed with bounded solution operators $S(t)$, satisfying $\|S(t)\| \leq M, t \geq 0$.

Subordination formula

$T(t)$ - the solution operator of the classical Cauchy problem.

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, the solution operator $S(t)$ of problem (1), resp. (2), satisfies the subordination identity

$$S(t) = \int_0^\infty \varphi(t, \tau) T(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0, \quad (14)$$

with function $\varphi(t, \tau)$ defined by

$$\varphi(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{st-\tau g(s)} \frac{g(s)}{s} ds, \quad \gamma, t, \tau > 0, \quad (15)$$

The function $\varphi(t, \tau)$ is a probability density function, i.e. it satisfies the properties

$$\varphi(t, \tau) \geq 0, \quad \int_0^\infty \varphi(t, \tau) d\tau = 1. \quad (16)$$

Hint: take function $\varphi(t, \tau)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_t\{\varphi\}(s, \tau) = \frac{g(s)}{s} e^{-\tau g(s)}$, $s, \tau > 0$.

References

A.V. Chechkin, R. Gorenflo, I.M. Sokolov, Retarding subdiffusion and accelerating superdiffusion governed by distributed order fractional diffusion equations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 66 (2002), 17

Daftardar-Gejji, V.; Bhalekar, S. Boundary value problems for multi-term fractional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2008, 345, No.2, pp. 754-765.

Gorenflo, R.; Luchko, Yu.; Stojanovic, M. Fundamental solution of a distributed order timefractional diffusion-wave equation as probability density. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 2013, 16, No.2, pp. 297-316.

Guo, G., Li, K., Wang, Y. Exact solutions of a modified fractional diffusion equation in the finite and semi-infinite domains, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 2015, 417, pp. 193-201.

Jiang, H., Liu, F., Turner, I., Burrage, K. Analytical solutions for the multi-term time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional advection-diffusion equations on a finite domain. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, (2012) 389(2), pp. 1117-1127.

Jin, B.; Lazarov, R.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, Z. The Galerkin finite element method for a

multi-term time-fractional diffusion equation. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 2015, 281, pp. 825–843.

Kochubei, A.N. Distributed order calculus and equations of ultraslow diffusion. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2008, 340, pp. 252-281.

Langlands, T.A.M., 2006, Solution of a modified fractional diffusion equation, *Physica A*, 367, pp. 136-144.

Li, C.-G.; Kostić, M.; Li, M.; Piskarev, S. On a class of time-fractional differential equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 2012, 15, No.4, pp. 639-668.

Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Yamamoto, M. Initial-boundary value problems for multi-term time-fractional diffusion equations with positive constant coefficients, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2015, 257, pp. 381-397

Li, Z.; Luchko, Yu.; Yamamoto, M. Asymptotic estimates of solutions to initial-boundary value problems for distributed order time-fractional diffusion equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 2014, 17, No.4, pp. 1114-1136.

Mainardi, F., Mura, A., Gorenflo, R., Stojanovic, M. The two forms of fractional relaxation of distributed order (2007) *JVC/Journal of Vibration and Control*, 13, pp. 1249-1268.

Mainardi, F., Mura, A., Pagnini, G., Gorenflo, R. Time-fractional diffusion of distributed order (2008) *JVC/Journal of Vibration and Control*, 14, pp. 1267-1290.

K.S. Miller, A note on the complete monotonicity of the generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, *Real Anal. Exchange*, Volume 23, Number 2 (1999), 753-756.

K.S. Miller, S.G. Samko, Completely monotonic functions. *Integr. Transf. Spec. Funct.* 2001, Vol. 12, No 4, 389-402

Prüss, J. Evolutionary integral equations and applications. Birkhauser: Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1993.

Saxena R.K., Pagnini G., Exact solutions of triple-order time-fractional differential equations for anomalous relaxation and diffusion I: the accelerating case. *Physica A*, 390, 602-613 (2011)

Sokolov, I.M.; Chechkin, A.V.; Klafter, J. Distributed-order fractional kinetics. *Acta Physica Polonica B*, 2004, 35, No.4, pp. 1323-1341.

Todorov, P. New explicit formulas for the nth derivative of composite functions. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 1981, 92, No. 1, pp. 217-236.